Malaria vaccines update

Eastern and Southern Africa National Malaria Programmes and Partners Annual Meeting 2023 3-6 October 2023



Updated WHO recommendation, including R21/Matrix-M as a second malaria vaccine





WHO press briefing on SAGE meeting outcomes, 2 October



As a malaria researcher, I used to dream of the day we had a safe and effective vaccine against malaria. Now we have two."

WHO Director-General's opening remarks

WHO Press release: <u>https://www.who.int/news/item/02-10-2023-</u> who-recommends-r21-matrix-m-vaccine-for-malaria-prevention-inupdated-advice-on-immunization



WHO recommendation: malaria vaccines

WHO recommends the programmatic use of malaria vaccines for the prevention of *P. falciparum* malaria in children living in malaria endemic areas, prioritizing areas of moderate and high transmission

- The malaria vaccine should be provided in a schedule of 4 doses in children from around 5 months of age¹ for the reduction of malaria disease and burden
- A 5th dose, given one year after dose 4, may be considered in areas where there is a significant malaria risk remaining in children a year after receiving dose 4
- Countries may consider providing the vaccine using an age-based, seasonal, or a hybrid of these approaches in areas with highly seasonal malaria or areas with perennial malaria transmission with seasonal peaks
- Countries should prioritize vaccination in areas of moderate and high transmission, but may also consider providing the vaccine in low transmission settings
- Vaccine introduction should be considered in the context of comprehensive national malaria control plans

This recommendation now includes <u>two</u> malaria vaccines:

• RTS,S/AS01 WHO prequalified in 2022

• R21/Matrix-M Currently under WHO prequalification review



¹ Vaccination programmes may choose to give the first dose at a later or slightly earlier age based on operational consideration. Studies with RTS,S/AS01 indicated lower efficacy if first dose was given around 6 weeks of age. However, it seems unlikely that efficacy would be substantially reduced if some children received the first dose at 4 rather than 5 months, and providing vaccination at an age younger than 5 months may increase coverage or impact

WHO recommendation: malaria vaccine dose schedule and delivery

- In areas of perennial malaria transmission, the malaria vaccine should be provided as a 3-dose primary series, starting from around 5 months of age, with a minimal interval of 4 weeks between doses
- The fourth dose should be given to prolong protection. There can be flexibility to optimize delivery for dose 4:
 - Alignment with other second year of life vaccines
 - Administration prior to seasonal peaks in malaria transmission to optimize efficacy
 - The optimal interval between dose-3 and 4 has not been established
- If malaria remains a significant public health problem in children a year after the fourth dose, then a fifth dose might be considered, depending on a local assessment of feasibility and cost-effectiveness

This recommendation now includes two malaria vaccines:

- RTS,S/AS01 WHO prequalified in 2022
- R21/Matrix-M

Currently under WHO prequalification review



WHO recommendation based on full evidence review of R21/Matrix-M by expert advisory bodies SAGE and MPAG on 27 September 2023¹

R21/Matrix-M Phase 3 trial design:

Seasonal administration (n=2,400), ages 5-36 months at first vaccination

• 2 sites: Nanoro, Burkina Faso and Bougouni, Mali

Age-based ("standard") administration (n=2,400), ages 5-36 months at first vaccination

 3 sites: Dande, Burkina Faso; Kilifi, Kenya and Bagamoyo, Tanzania

Primary endpoint: 12 months post dose-3

Data available and reviewed through 18-months follow-up post dose 3





Evidence on R21/Matrix-M (R21) malaria vaccine

- High efficacy when given just before the high transmission season: In areas with highly seasonal malaria transmission (where malaria transmission is largely limited to 4 or 5 months per year), the R21/Matrix-M vaccine was shown to reduce symptomatic cases of malaria by 75% during the 12 months following a 3-dose series. A fourth dose given a year after the third maintained efficacy
 - This high efficacy is similar to the efficacy demonstrated when RTS,S is given seasonally (73% during 12 months follow-up)
- Good efficacy when given in an age-based schedule: The vaccine showed good efficacy (66%) during the 12 months following the first 3 doses in moderate to low perennial transmission settings. A fourth dose a year after the third maintained efficacy
 - Although it has not been tested in areas of high perennial transmission, R21 expected have similar high impact as that seen with RTS,S
 - No data are available to directly compare the performance of R21 and RTS,S when given in an age-based strategy.
- High impact: Mathematical modelling estimates indicate the public health impact of the R21 vaccine is expected to be high in a wide range of malaria transmission settings, including low transmission settings
- Cost effectiveness: At price assumption of US\$ 2 US\$ 4 per dose, the cost-effectiveness of the R21 vaccine would be comparable with other recommended malaria interventions and other childhood vaccines
- Safety: The R21 vaccine was shown to be safe in clinical trials. As with other new vaccines, safety monitoring will continue
- Similarity of R21 and RTS,S vaccines: The R21 vaccine is similar to RTS,S in construct, target population, and delivery strategy. There is no evidence that one vaccine performs better than the other
- Price: The initial price of R21/Matrix-M vaccine is expected to be considerably lower than that for RTS, S/AS01



Implications and next steps



Outlook of key next steps

- Ongoing WHO pre-qualification review for R21/Matrix-M
 - WHO PQ is a pre-requisite for UNICEF to procure and for Gavi to fund the vaccine
- UNICEF to finalize supply agreement with Serum Institute of India (SII), manufacturer of R21/Matrix-M
 - SII indicates to have established production capacity for 100 million doses per year
- Cumulative supply availability of two malaria vaccines expected to meet the demand, starting in 2024
 - Enabling more countries to introduce and scale up faster



Countries' Gavi application status – as of 4 October 2023

may not yet be full agreement.

- At least 28 countries in Africa expressed interest in introducing a malaria vaccine
- Since opening the funding window in mid-2022, Gavi approved applications from 18 countries to roll-out the vaccine
- <u>12 countries have RTS,S supply allocation</u> <u>confirmed</u> for introduction in Phase 1 (greatest need areas), starting in Q1 2024
- Guidance from Gavi forthcoming on how countries can access additional supply (once R21/Matrix-M is WHO pre-qualified)





reserved

Further information

- WHO Press release on R21/Matrix-M recommendation: <u>https://www.who.int/news/item/02-10-2023-who-recommends-r21-matrix-m-vaccine-for-malaria-prevention-in-updated-advice-on-immunization</u>
- SAGE meeting materials: <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/events/detail/2023/09/25/default-</u> calendar/sage meeting september 2023
 - Full evidence report on R21/Matrix-M and additional background documents available in the SAGE «Yellow Book»; Session highlights; Presentation
- Updates will be made to incorporate the updated WHO recommendation in materials available to support country vaccine introductions, including:
 - Q4 2023: Update to WHO Guidelines for Malaria (incl. MAGICApp)
 - Q4 2023: Implementation Guidance for Malaria Vaccines
 - Guide to introducing a malaria vaccine
 - Generic training modules for health workers
 - WHO webpage on malaria vaccines and dedicated TechNet-21 page on the malaria vaccine (forthcoming)
 - Q1 2024: Revision to the Gavi application guidelines



Thank you

Contact: Malaria Vaccines Team at WHO malariavaccines@who.int

0

Credit: WHO/F.Combrink

Back-up slides



Summary findings from the Malaria Vaccine Implementation Programme (RTS,S/AS01 implementation since 2019) helped inform R21/Matrix-M vaccine consideration

Since 2019, over 1.8 million children vaccinated with RTS,S/AS01, over 5.4 million doses administered





- Feasible to introduce with high uptake
- **High demand** by community and acceptability by health workers
- Vaccine confirmed to be safe
- High impact: Vaccine introduction resulted in a substantial reduction in severe malaria and all cause mortality in children age-eligible to receive the vaccine, even when introduced in areas with good ITN use and access to care
- Equity: Vaccine delivery is equitable by gender or socioeconomic status and is reaching children who are not using other forms of prevention

Estimated public health impact of R21/Matrix-M¹



Use the fitted, validated model to predict impact in non-seasonal and seasonal settings with different levels of transmission, over a 15-year time horizon.

Seasonal setting	Perennial	Seasonal		
Implementation method	Age-based	Age-based	Seasonal	Hybrid
Proportion of clinical cases averted in children younger than 5 years	41·6%	41·4%	43·4%	41·8%
	[46·0; 30·6]	[47·2; 29·3]	[49·8; 29·4]	[47·4; 29·4]
Proportion of malaria deaths averted in children younger than 5 years	34·3%	34·4%	35·0%	33·6%
	[44·6; 21·4]	[45·3; 20·2]	[47·8; 18·9]	[45·9; 20·3]
Clinical cases averted per 100,000 fully vaccinated children	190,602	210,616	225,428	211,369
	[42,236; 330,866]	[32,428; 398,620]	[37,117; 391,277]	[32,324; 398,726]
Malaria deaths averted per 100,000 fully vaccinated children	632	663	689	672
	[268; 633]	[216; 719]	[236; 709]	[217; 733]

Estimates represent median values at 20% $PfPr_{2-10}$ and intervals median values at 3% and 65% $PfPr_{2-10}$ for a four-dose schedule.

¹ For full draft manuscript, see addition Background Document 7.7 (The public health impact and cost-effectiveness of the R21/Matrix-M malaria vaccine: a mathematical modelling study) included the "SAGE Yellow Book" for the September 2023 meeting, accessible here: <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/events/detail/2023/09/25/default-calendar/sage_meeting_september_2023</u>



 Use the fitted, validated model to predict cost effectiveness in non-seasonal and seasonal settings over a range of transmission levels.

Seasonal setting	Perennial		Seasonal	
Implementation method	Age-based	Age-based	Seasonal	Hybrid
Cost per clinical case averted (USD)				
\$2 per dose	\$5 [33, 3]	\$5 [43, 2]	\$7 [58, 4]	\$6 [52, 3]
\$3 per dose	\$7 [42, 4]	\$6 [56, 3]	\$9 [69, 5]	\$8 [65, 4]
\$4 per dose	\$10 [55, 6]	\$9 [73, 4]	\$12 [83, 6]	\$10 [82, 5]
Cost per DALY averted (USD)				
\$2 per dose	\$25 [97, 23]	\$23 [122, 17]	\$38 [169, 38]	\$29 [146, 23]
\$3 per dose	\$36 [126, 34]	\$33 [158, 27]	\$48 [202, 47]	\$40 [181, 32]
\$4 per dose	\$50 [165, 48]	\$46 [205, 40]	\$61 [246, 59]	\$53 [228, 43]

Estimates represent median values at 20% *Pf*Pr₂₋₁₀ and intervals median values at 3% and 65% *Pf*Pr₂₋₁₀ for a four-dose schedule.

¹ For full draft manuscript, see addition Background Document 7.7 (The public health impact and cost-effectiveness of the R21/Matrix-M malaria vaccine: a mathematical modelling study) included the "SAGE Yellow Book" for the September 2023 meeting, accessible here: <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/events/detail/2023/09/25/default-calendar/sage_meeting_september_2023</u>