Strategy to Respond to Antimalarial Drug Resistance in Africa

 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +
 +</t

Charlotte Rasmussen Diagnosis, Medicine and Resistance Unit Global Malaria Programme



Artemisinin-based combination therapies at the heart of the response

- After the spread of chloroquine and SP resistance, artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) became the main treatment of uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria
- ACTs combine an artemisinin and a partner drug
- The efficacy of ACTs is dependent on the efficacy of both components
- All 6 partner drugs highly efficacious as monotherapies in the absence of resistance
- Artemisinin rapidly lower the parasite biomass while partner drug completes the elimination of the parasites





Artemisinin partial resistance

• Artemisinin partial resistance seen as delayed parasite clearance following treatment with artemisinin-based monotherapy or with an ACT



- Delayed clearance alone does not lead to ACT treatment failure
- In combination with partner drug resistance, very high failure rates have been seen
- Artemisinin partial resistance have been associated with different mutations of *Pfkelch13*. List of validated and candidate markers available on GMP website (https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/artemisinin-resistance)
- 7-day artesunate treatment showed > 90% efficacy even in areas of high prevalence of mutant *Pfkelch13*
- For artemisinin partial resistance to be confirmed in a site, quality evidence is needed on:
 - Presence of validated marker (≥5%) (PfK13 mutations)
 - Evidence of delayed clearance (Day 3 + or parasites clearance half-life)



Risk of partner drug resistance

- In Southeast Asia, artemisinin partial resistance has not been seen to cause the emergence of partner drug resistance
- However, artemisinin partial resistance may have helped spread piperaquine resistance through a strain with artemisinin partial resistance and piperaquine resistance
- The spread of the resistant parasites across the region linked to massive drug pressure by DHA-piperaquine
- However, change in first-line treatment in Cambodia does appear to select against this strain



Imwong et al. 2017 Lancet Inf Dis.

Background for the development of the Strategy of antimalarial drug resistance in Africa

- At the time of the launch of the Strategy, artemisinin partial resistance had been confirmed in three countries: Eritrea, Rwanda and Uganda.
- Since the launch of the Strategy, artemisinin partial resistance have also been confirmed in Tanzania in an area close to Rwanda
- High dependence of one ACT, ۲ artemether-lumefantrine
- Concerning gaps in the data available on ٠ efficacy and resistance



Rwanda

(n=425)

Eritrea

(n=2872)



Antimalarial drug resistance in Africa

Artemisinin partial resistance in Africa

- Different mutations linked to artemisinin partial resistance has emerged and are spreading
- Delayed clearance associated with these mutations reported in 4 countries: Eritrea, Rwanda, Uganda and Tanzania

Scattered reports of high ACT treatment failure

- No confirmed ACT partner drug resistance to most used drugs
- Concerns that reports of high treatment failures is caused by partner drug resistance

Three areas with distinct patterns of artemisinin partial resistance

Horn of Africa

K13 mutation R622I detected in several countries in the Horn of Africa. Evidence of delayed parasite clearance in Eritrea
R622I detected in parasites with *Pfhrp2/3* deletions

Uganda

Delayed clearance identified. Different validated
 markers of artemisinin partial resistance detected

Rwanda & Tanzania

 Studies found delayed clearance and high prevalence of K13 mutation R561H

High failure rates in efficacy studies (2015 - 2023)

- Artemether-lumefantrine
- Artesunate-amodiaquine
- Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine

Development of the Strategy for the Response to Antimalarial drug resistance in Africa

Launched in November 2022

Strategy to respond to antimalarial drug resistance in Africa



Development process

Working groups with 86 leading malaria experts



Working

groups

100+

Scientific

papers reviewed

Consultations

Additional input from diversified panel of global and local stakeholders

Broad literature review to collect existing evidence

Expert and public consultation

- Strategy developed based on current understanding of drivers of resistance and the intervention needed to address these drivers.
- Strategy relies on better use of existing tools & development of new tools & strategies, with actions at global, regional and local level

World Healt Organizatio

Strategy to respond to antimalarial drug resistance in Africa

20 interventions across 4 pillars aiming to mitigate risks and respond to resistance



Strategy implementation | Selected WHO activities

• Collection and sharing of data in the Malaria Threat Maps



- Planning to expand the current external quality assurance (EQA) to include marker of antimalarial drug resistance (current scheme offer an independent mean for laboratories to verify the quality of their nucleic acid amplification (NAA)-based malaria diagnostic methods)
- WHO convened two meetings in Kampala, Uganda in November:
 - 7 & 8 November: A regional stakeholder meeting to align on intervention priorities to support countries responding to resistance
 - 9 & 10 November: Meeting on surveillance of drug efficacy and resistance for countries in Eastern Africa and the Horn of Africa

Thank you

For more information, please contact: Charlotte Rasmussen Diagnosis, Medicine and Resistance Unit, Global Malaria Programme rasmussenc@who.int

