The Roll Back Malaria Partnership is a global platform for coordinated action against malaria. More than 200 partners committed to a malaria-free world are working together to help scale up malaria-control efforts at country level, ensure optimal use of existing resources, avoid duplication, encourage the development of novel therapies and interventions, mobilize new resources and keep the spotlight on malaria as a priority on the global health and development agenda.
A new Board and CEO have been selected to take the Partnership forward into a new era

- 14 individuals have been selected with deep expertise and experience as well as representation from across the Partnership including endemic countries.
- A new CEO has also been appointed and assumed responsibilities on 1 Feb.

**RBM Board Leadership**

- **Chair:** Dr Winnie Mpanju-Shumbusho, former Assistant Director General – Malaria, HIV, TB, NTDs, WHO
- **Vice-Chair:** Mr Kieran Daly, Deputy Director: Global Policy & Advocacy – Malaria, HIV, TB and the Global Fund, BMGF

**RBM Board Members**

- Dr Pedro Alonso, Director of the WHO Global Malaria Programme in Geneva, Switzerland.
- Professor Maha Taysir Barakat, Director-General Abu Dhabi Health Authority
- Mr Elhadj As Sy, Secretary General, IFRC
- Mr Simon Bland, Director New York Office, UNAIDS
- Prof Awa Coll-Seck, Minister of Health & Social Welfare, Senegal
- Mr Paolo Gomes, Chairman, Paulo Gomes and Partners, former Executive Director, World Bank
- Dr Richard Nchabi Kamwi, Elimination 8 Ambassador, former Minister of Health, Namibia
- Dr Altaf Lal, Senior Advisor on Global Health and Innovation, Sun Pharmaceuticals Industries
- Mr Ray Nishimoto, President of Health & Crop Sciences Sector, Sumitomo Chemical
- Dr David Reddy, Chief Executive, Medicines for Malaria Venture
- Dr Mirta Roses Periago, Director Emeritus PAHO
- HE Yongyuth Yuthavong, Former Deputy Prime Minister, Thailand

**CEO:** Kesete Admasu, Former Government of Ethiopia, Minister of Health
## RBM structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partnership Board</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governing body:</strong> with diverse, senior-level composition that oversees Partnership operations and strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accountable</strong> to Partners via regular reporting and regular, published, independent reviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates are <strong>nominated by Partners</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CEO &amp; Management Team</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day-to-day manager</strong> and face of the Partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CEO leads the Management Team</strong> in implementing the strategy and plans approved by the Partnership Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobilises resources</strong> for the strategy and plans approved by the Partnership Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner Committees</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formalised mechanism of direct Partner engagement and ownership of the Partnership to deliver on its core functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Partnership Committees: <strong>Strategic Communications; Advocacy and Resource Mobilization; Country and Regional Support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ad-Hoc Working Groups</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Established as needed by Partners or the CEO / Management Team to facilitate and streamline specific bottlenecks and coordinate partner technical implementation efforts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Working Groups are a critical mechanism for Partners to share information and collaborate on specialized topics

- As stipulated in the RBM Bye-Laws, the RBM Working Groups are separate and distinct from Partner Committees and designed to be fluid and flexible enabling Partners to convene and coordinate on specific topics, issues and technical areas for as long as there is a need for them to do so.

- Working Groups are designed to be set-up and supported by Partners and not core RBM resources. However, some Working Groups receive targeted funding from donors such as PMI and SDC (who presently financially support the functioning of five working groups (CMWG, MERG, MIP, VCWG, SBCC).

- An important next priority for the CEO and RBM Board is to clarify the linkage of working groups to the RBM management structure and accountabilities including:
  - Principles of operation
  - Guidance on membership and accreditation
  - Interaction with Partner Committees (incl. the identification of any overlap to avoid duplication)
Working Groups continue to operate as they did previously

- Despite the long transition period, the Working Groups continue to operate as they did previously.

- Details kept updated on the RBM website (provided they are supplied) and interaction is encouraged with the Partner Committees as they develop workplans.

- Feedback and suggestions for the future operation of Working Groups is encouraged.
New location

UNOPS
TCS Building 3rd floor, Chemin De Bladonnet 2, 1214 Vernier
TC with the Co-Chairs of the RBM Working Groups
March 21th 2017

- All 5 RBM Working Groups (CMWG, MiPWG, MERG, SBCCWG, VCWG) were represented through their Co-Chairs.
- Main topic of discussion was the revision of the ToRs which is dated back in 2014.
- The content of the current version of the ToRs has two main parts which refer to the structure (I, II, III) and to the procedure/reporting (IV, V, VI). The part on the procedures/reporting needs a careful revision reflecting the present situation and avoiding bottlenecks which were observed in the past.
- The 5 WGs are currently revising their ToRs. At the end, we will discuss and harmonize as needed before presenting the revised ToRs to the CEO and Board.
Your thoughts

What is the ideal model of interaction between Working Groups and other Partnership governance structures?
Small group work

- Brainstorm priorities case management themes for 2017-2030
- Propose work streams (current or new) for CMWG
  - 17:00
- Select chair and rapporteur for the group work
- Proposed work plan activities for the next 24 months
- Suggestions on how to interact with
  - Working Groups (VCWG, MiPWG, SBCCWG, MERG)
  - Partner Committees
  - Secretariat, CEO, Board
  - Other actors
Themes for work streams

Group 1
• Chemoprevention
• Procurement and supplies
• Diagnosis and treatment (case management)

Group 2 (perspective of patient)
• Access (supply chain …)
• Improve quality of CM (diagnosis, resistance, quality assurance)
• CM and elimination (screen and treat …)?

Group 3 (focus on the countries)
• Strategizing (how stratification is done in countries)
• Introduction for treatment and diagnosis
• Maintenance
• Mitigation (resistance included)
Proposed themes for the work streams

- Access to quality case management
  - iCCM
  - BCE/ICE
  - Supply chain
  - Continuum of care
  - Private sector
- Improving the quality of case management
  - Drug resistance
  - Pharmacovigilance
  - Diagnosis and treatment algorithms
  - Quality assurance
  - Tracking
  - Severe malaria
- Right approach, right time
  - Multiple 1\textsuperscript{st} line
  - Chemoprevention
  - Stratification
  - Introducing new tools
  - Emergency situations
  - Elimination
  - Asymptomatic carriers
Additional slides
The 2013 Evaluation Recommended Changes to RBM

• RBM’s 2013 external evaluation identified key areas for improvement, drawing on 100 interviews, 200 survey responses, and 4 affected-country visits.

• The 2013 Evaluation findings included:
  - Ineffective operation of the Board due to unequal and inconsistent levels of Board member participation and meeting preparation.
  - Constituency model not performing as required
  - Limited Secretariat “human resource flexibility.”
  - Unclear function of the Board’s Resource Mobilisation Sub-Committee and unclear value of its resource mobilisation strategy.
  - Need for greater multi-sectoral engagement.

• At its 28th Board Meeting in May 2015, the RBM Board approved the outline of a new structure to meet these recommendations. It empowered a Transition Oversight Committee (TOC) to oversee the revitalization of the Partnership through the development of this new structure and mechanisms.

• The TOC reported back to the Board at its 29th Meeting in Dec 2015 and the Board approved the continuation of the Partnership’s transition under the guidance of the former TOC co-chairs.
A number of key RBM transition activities have been completed since the outgoing Board’s last face-to-face meeting in Dec 2015. This work has included:

- **Board Nomination and Selection** – The nomination and selection process of a new RBM Board who have since met 4 times (3 face to face).

- **Governance** – Development and approval of a new set of RBM Bye-Laws including the TORs for Partner Committees and Working Groups.

- **Legal Status & Hosting** – Successful transition to new hosting organisation (UNOPS) following an assessment of options.

- **Partner Committees** – The set up of three new Partner Committees and the selection of inaugural Co-Chairs to serve for one year.

- **Recruitment** – The recruitment of a permanent management team starting with a new CEO.

- **Resource Mobilisation** – Identifying and securing the funds required to support the management team and work plans of the Partner Committees.

- **Communications** – Ensure the continuation of effective communication with the Partnership.
The new architecture facilitates efficient governance and effective high-level advocacy

- **Governing body** – with diverse, senior-level composition – that oversees Partnership operations and strategy
- **Accountable** to Partners via regular reporting and regular, published, independent reviews
- **Partners nominate** candidates, individually or as groups of like-minded stakeholders

- **Day-to-day** manager and face of the Partnership
- **Leads the Management Team** in implementing the strategy and plans approved by the Partnership Board and mobilising resources required to support them.

- A **small, flexible** team designed to support the Partnership Board and the Partner Committees and implement the Partnership Board strategy effectively
The new architecture also improves direct Partner engagement and collaboration

**Partner Committees**
- Formalized mechanism of **direct Partner engagement and ownership** to create strategy for the Partnership and deliver on its core functions
- **Advocacy and Resource Mobilization; Strategic Communications; Country and Regional Support**

**Country and Regional Frameworks**
- Revitalized structures to improve **support to malaria-affected countries**, better empowering them to achieve their objectives
- **Consultation is planned** to determine regional support requirements and structures

**Working Groups**
- Continuing / Established as needed by partners to **facilitate and streamline** specific bottlenecks and coordinate partner technical area implementation efforts
**Working Group: Key Questions**

- What is the ideal model of interaction between Working Groups and other Partnership governance structures?
- What should be the mode and frequency of communication between (both directions) the Working Groups and other Partnership governance structures?
- What do you think a Working Group needs to demonstrate in order to be accredited by RBM?
- What other questions do you have for the RBM Partnership Board?